An Asynchronous Array of Simple Processors for DSP Applications

Zhiyi Yu, Michael Meeuwsen, Ryan Apperson, Omar Sattari, Michael Lai, Jeremy Webb, Eric Work, Tinoosh Mohsenin, Mandeep Singh, Bevan Baas

VLSI Computation Lab, ECE Department University of California, Davis, USA

Outline

Project objectives

- Key features of the AsAP processor
- Design of the AsAP processor
- Results

Target Applications

- Computationally intensive DSP and scientific apps
 - Key components in many systems
 - Require high performance
 - Limited power budgets
 - Require innovations in architecture and circuit design



Project Objectives

- Programming flexibility
- High performance
 - Throughput
 - Latency
- High energy efficiency
- Suitable for future fabrication technologies



Programming flexibility

Outline

- Project objectives
- Key features of the AsAP processor
- Design of the AsAP processor
- Results

Key Features of the AsAP Processor



High Performance Through Chip Multiprocessor

• Increasing the clock frequency is challenging



Increased design complexity & lower energy efficiency

- Parallelism is more promising
 - Instruction level parallelism (VLIW, Superscalar)
 - Data level parallelism (SIMD)
 - Task level parallelism

Task Level Parallelism

Well suited for DSP applications



• Widely available in many DSP applications



802.11a/g wireless LAN (54 Mbps, 5 GHz) baseband transmit path

Memory Size in Modern Processors

- Memory occupies much of the area in modern processors — this reduces area available for the core and consumes large amounts of power
- Area and energy dissipation can be dramatically decreased with smaller memories



Small Memory Requirements for DSP Tasks

- The memory required for common DSP tasks is quite small
- Several hundred words of memory are sufficient for many DSP tasks

Task	IMem	DMem
	(words)	(words)
N-pt FIR	6	2N
8-pt DCT	40	16
8x8 2-D DCT	154	72
Conv. coding $(k = 7)$	29	14
Huffman encode	200	330
N-pt convolution	29	2N
64-pt complex FFT	97	192
Bubble sort	20	1
N merge sort	50	N
Square root	62	15
Exponential	108	32

GALS Clocking Style

- The challenge of globally synchronous systems
 - Design difficulty when using high clock frequencies, long clock wires caused by large chip sizes, and large circuit parameter variations
 - High clock power consumption and lack of flexibility to independently control clock frequencies
- How about totally asynchronous design style
 - Lack of EDA tool support
 - Design complexity and circuit overhead
- The GALS compromise
 - Synchronous blocks each operating in their own independent clock domain

Wires and On Chip Communication

- Global wires are a concern
 - Their length doesn't shrink with technology scaling assuming the chip size remains the same
 - The ratio of global wire delay to gate delay doubles each generation
- Methods to avoid global wires
 - Network on chip (NOC)
 - Local communication
 - Nearest neighbor communication





Outline

- Project objectives
- Key features of the AsAP processor
- Design of the AsAP processor
- Results

AsAP Block Diagram

- GALS array of identical processors
 - Each processor is a reduced complexity programmable DSP with small memories
 - Each processor can receive data from any two neighbors and send data to any of its four neighbors



Single Processor Architecture

- 54 32-bit instructions, among which only Bit-Reverse is algorithm specific
- 9-stage pipeline



Programmable Clock Oscillator

- Standard cell based
- Configurable frequency
 - Delay tunable stages using 7 parallel tri-state inverters
 - 5 or 9 stage selection
 - 1 to 128 clock divider
- SR latch logic enables clean OSC halt
- Results
 - 1.66 MHz 702 MHz
 - Max gap: 0.08 MHz (1.66 – 500 MHz)



Inter-processor Communication

- Each processor contains two dual-clock FIFOs
- Rd/Wr in separate clock domains
 - Gray coded Rd/Wr address across clock domains
- No FIFO failures in several weeks of testing with multiple procs



Advantages of the AsAP Clocking System

- Simplified clock tree design
 - The maximum span is < 1 mm in 0.18 μ m
- Scalable easy to add processors
- Improved energy efficiency
 - Clock halts in 9 cycles (processor dissipates leakage power only) and restores in < 1 cycle according to work availability
 - 53% and 65% power savings for two applications
 - Independent clock and voltage scaling (individual processor voltage scaling not implemented in this version)

Physical Design

- 0.18 µm TSMC
- Standard cell
- Design flow
 - Completely synthesized, except oscillator
 - Macro memory blocks used for four main memories
 - Completely auto placed and routed
- To simplify physical design, clock gating not implemented in this version

Chip Micrograph of the 6 x 6 Array



Outline

- Project objectives
- Key features of the AsAP processor
- Design of the AsAP processor
- Results

Area Evaluation

- Most of AsAP's area is for the core (66%)
- Each processor requires a very small area; more than 20x smaller than others



Power and Performance



JPEG Core Encoder Implementation

- 9 processors
- Fully functional on chip
- 224 mW @ 300 MHz
- ~1400 clock cycles for each 8x8 block
- Similar performance and ~11x lower energy dissipation than 8-way VLIW TI C62x



[MICRO 02, ISCAS 02]

802.11a/802.11g Wireless Transmitter Implementation

- 22 processors
- Fully functional on chip
- 407 mW @ 300 MHz 30% of 54 Mb/s
- Code unscheduled and lightly optimized
- ~10x performance and 35x – 75x lower energy dissipation than 8-way VLIW TI C62x



[SIPS 04; ICC 02]

Summary

- Scalable programmable processing array
 - Many processors on a single chip
 - Reduced complexity processors with small memories
 - GALS clocking style
 - Nearest neighbor communication
- Results
 - 0.18 µm, **475 MHz** @ 1.8 V
 - 32 mW application power
 - 84 mW 100% active power
 - 2.4 mW application power @ 116 MHz and 0.9 V
 - High performance density: 475 MOPS in 0.66 mm²
 - Well suited for future fabrication technologies

Acknowledgments

- Funding
 - Intel Corporation
 - UC Micro
 - NSF Grant No. 0430090
 - MOSIS
 - UCD Faculty Research Grant
- Special Thanks
 - R. Krishnamurthy, M. Anders, S. Mathew, S. Muroor,
 W. Li, C. Chen, D. Truong